

REMARKS:

This paper is herewith filed in response to the Examiner's Office Action mailed on September 26, 2008 for the above-captioned U.S. Patent Application. This office action is a rejection of claims 1-14 of the application.

More specifically, the Examiner has rejected claims 1-14 under 35 USC 102(e) as anticipated by Nykanen (US20020173295). The Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection.

Claims 1, 7, 13-14 have been amended for clarification. Claims 15-19 have been added. Support for the amendments can be found at least on page 5 line 20 to page 6 line 34. No new matter is added.

The Applicant notes that prosecution of the pending Application has been reopened following an Appeal filed on March 14, 2008. Presently the Examiner has rejected all claims 1-14 as anticipated by Nykanen.

The Applicant note that independent claims 1, 7, 13, and 14 have been amended for clarification of an exemplary embodiment of the invention wherein a user profile is selected from a plurality of pre-stored user profiles. The Applicant submits that in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the invention there are several different user profiles, as identified in a method, apparatus, and executable computer program claim according to the invention, from which a particular user profile can be selected.

The Applicant submits that the invention is clearly distinguishable from Nykanen for at least the reason that Nykanen appears to disclose only one user profile (e.g. privacy profile).

Nykanen discloses:

The context sensitive web services invention maintains a personal profile of the

mobile user's personal preferences in an online server or web site. The mobile user is provided with the ability to control access by application programs in the wireless device, to the user's private data. The context sensitive web services invention provide the mobile user with the ability to control any access to **the user's profile** by the online server or web site," (emphasis added), (par. [0018]); and

"FIG. 2 shows the memory 202 of the wireless device 100, connected by the bus 204 to the keypad 104, the radio 206, the sensor interface 208, the central processor 210, and the display 212. The memory 202 stores programs which are sequences of executable instructions which, when executed by the processor 210, carry out the methods of the invention. The memory 202 stores the WAP client program 108, the context inference engine 136, the privacy control 150, **the privacy profile 152**, the context aware API 154, the motion/gesture API 156, the location API 158, and other APIs 162," (emphasis added), (par [0093]).

The Applicant submits that Nykanen can be seen to relate to only a single user profile (e.g. the privacy profile). The Applicant contends that in all of Nykanen there is not seen to be any disclosure or suggestion of where claim 1 relates to a centralized register of usage contexts and **pre-stored user profiles**, and selecting from the centralized register **a user profile of the stored user profiles**. The Applicant submits that Nykanen appears to disclose only using only a single user profile, being a privacy policy, in all of Nykanen.

The Applicant contends that, for at least the reasons stated; Nykanen can not be seen to disclose or suggest at least where claim 1 recites:

maintaining a centralized register of usage contexts and pre-stored user profiles in an electronic device of a user, where each user profile is being associated with at least one usage context, entering a particular one of said plurality of usage contexts, said particular one being a selected usage context, identifying said entering, **selecting from the centralized register a user profile** in response to said identifying, and performing authentication of the user of the electronic device in the selected usage context by using data from the selected user profile

For at least the reasons stated the rejection of claim 1 is seen to be moot. The Examiner is respectfully requested to remove the rejection and to allow claim 1.


S.N.: 10/608,235
Art Unit: 2132


Further, the Applicant submits that for at least the reason that independent claims 7, 13, and 14 recite features similar to claim 1, as stated above, the rejections of these claims should be removed and all claims 1, 7, and 13-14 should be allowed.

In addition, for at least the reasons that claims 2-6, 8-12, and 15-19 depend from claims 1, 7, and 13, respectively, the reference cited is not seen to disclose or suggest these claims.

For all of the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully submitted that all of claims 1-19 now present in the application are clearly novel and patentable over the prior art of record. Should any unresolved issue remain, the Examiner is invited to call Applicants' attorney at the telephone number indicated below.

Respectfully submitted:



John A. Garrity

Date

Reg. No.: 60,470

Customer No.: 29683

HARRINGTON & SMITH, PC

4 Research Drive

Shelton, CT 06484-6212

Telephone: (203)925-9400

Facsimile: (203)944-0245

email: jgarrity@hspatent.com

S.N.: 10/608,235
Art Unit: 2132

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. BOX 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

12/18/2008

Date

Russ Muzak

Name of Person Making Deposit